Compaction of anisotropic granular materials: Experiments and simulations

G. Lumay and N. Vandewalle

GRASP, Institut de Physique B5, Université de Liège, B-4000 Liège, Belgium (Received 5 May 2004; published 29 November 2004)

We present both experimental and numerical investigations of compaction in granular materials composed of rods. As a function of the particles size and with respect to the container diameter, we have observed large variations of the asymptotic packing volume fraction. The relevant parameter is the ratio between the rod length ℓ and the tube diameter *D*. Even the compaction dynamics remains unchanged for various particle lengths, and a transition between 3*d* and 2*d* ordering for grain orientations is observed for $\ell/D = 1$. A toy model for the compaction of needles on a lattice is also proposed. This toy model gives a complementary view of our experimental results and leads to behaviors similar to experimental ones.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.70.051314 PACS number(s): 45.70.Cc, 05.70.Jk

I. INTRODUCTION

Granular matter has been the subject of numerous studies since the last decade [1–4]. Indeed, most of the industrial products are processed, transported, and stocked in a granular state. The packing density of those granular materials becomes therefore a relevant parameter for a broad range of applications. The best way to reduce the costs for the manipulation of such granular materials is to increase the packing density ρ . This can be achieved by tapping or vibrating the vessel containing the grains.

Various experimental studies [5,6] have underlined the fact that the dynamics of compaction is a complex problem. The compaction dynamics is indeed characterized by a slow dynamics [6]. Different laws have been proposed for the volume fraction evolution of a granular material as a function of the number *n* of taps. Among others, one has proposed the inverse logarithmic law

$$
\rho(n) = \rho_{\infty} - \frac{\Delta \rho}{1 + B \ln(1 + n/\tau)},\tag{1}
$$

where the parameters ρ_{∞} and $\Delta \rho$ are, respectively, the asymptotic volume fraction and the maximum variation of the volume fraction. The dimensionless parameter *B* depends on the acceleration during each tap and τ is the relaxation time of the reorganization process. This inverse logarithmic law of *n* was obtained in numerical models for compaction like the Tetris model and could also be derived from theoretical arguments [7,8].

The great majority of earlier experiments have considered the compaction dynamics of spherical grains [9,10]. Only a few papers discuss the problem of anisotropic particles [11,12]. It has been reported that the compaction dynamics exhibits different regimes associated with respectively, grain translations and grain rotations.

It has been also reported [12,13] that the random packing density of spherocylinders or rods decreases when the aspect ratio ℓ/d of these objects increases.

In this paper, we present an experimental study of compaction dynamics for cylindrical particles in vertical tubes (Fig. 1). We investigate the volume fraction as a function of the ratio between the grain length and the tube diameter, ℓ /*D*. We will see that according to the ratio ℓ /*D*, restrictions on grain orientations appear. These restrictions have a large influence on the asymptotic volume fraction.

Finally, a toy model for compaction only based on geometrical considerations is also proposed for the case of anisotropic grains. The behaviors of the compaction dynamics are reproduced.

II. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

A. Experimental setup

Our experimental setup for the study of compaction is different from the usual ones. Indeed, an oscillating system is generally used to produce the compaction process. In our experiment, an electromagnetic hammer, which is controlled by a computer via an interface, is placed below a vertical tube for tapping. Thus, we act on the system with a series of intense and short taps. The intensity, the number, and the frequency of the taps can be controlled. The present study is mainly focused on the effect of grain and container geometry rather than tap intensity. The number of taps is therefore the relevant parameter for tapping. A charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera records the tube during the whole experiment. Therefore, we can measure the evolution of the height *h* of the granular/air interface by image analysis. A ruler is placed along the tube in order to calibrate the measurements. The

FIG. 1. Sketch of our experimental setup. The granular material is placed in a vertical glass tube. Taps are generated by an electromagnetic hammer controlled by a computer. A camera records the granular/air interface after each tap.

picture analysis for the volume fraction measurements is made by an algorithm especially developed for this task. On the pictures, grains appear as dark and the rest of the system appears as bright. An average of the pixel values is performed on the vertical of the picture. We obtain an abrupt variation of the average at the position of the granular/air interface. The maximum of the first derivative gives the position of the interface. The volume fraction ρ is estimated through the value of h , the density of grains, ρ_{φ} , and the weight of the entire column, *p*. One should note that in our experiment, we are mainly interested in the volume fraction averaged over the entire granular column. A sketch of our setup is given in Fig. 1.

The granular material we used is Capellini pasta noodles (density = 1.47 g/cm³), which were cut precisely into identical cylinders. The diameter *d* of these cylinders is 1.2 mm and their length varies between 2 mm and 26 mm. Their aspect ratio ℓ/d varies between 1.6 and 21. The cylinders are placed in a vertical glass tube. The internal diameter *D* of the glass tube can vary between 8 mm and 24 mm. Only different ℓ and *D* values will be examined, the rod diameter being fixed.

For the preparation of the loose packing, grains are poured in the glass tube with a funnel before each run. We have also tested a decompaction by inflating air from the bottom of the tube. This does not change our results obtained with the rain method.

In order to obtain a saturation of the volume fraction when tapping, a minimum of 2000 taps were applied before stopping each experiment.

Before investigating the compaction dynamics, let us present some additional information about tapping. We have measured the acceleration experienced by the bottom of the glass tube after each tap. The analysis of a single tap with an ultrafast camera gives a typical acceleration of 12*g* during a short period of 2 ms. The amplitude of the vertical motion is approximately 0.25 mm. The relaxation time of the system after a tap (the time after which there is no movement in the tube) has been measured to be less than 0.12 s. In all our experiments, the successive taps are always separated by at least 0.2 s. This time interval is long enough to avoid any overlap between relaxation mechanisms provoked by two successive taps.

B. Compaction dynamics

We have analyzed the compaction for different tube diameters *D* and for different lengths ℓ of the rods. Figure 2 presents typical curves of compaction for three different lengths. Each curve is well fitted by the inverse logarithmic law of Eq. (1). Curves obtained in other glass tubes present similar behaviors. We do not observe different stages in the compaction process as in [11]. In fact, an initial relaxation stage and a vertical ordering stage have been observed for a vertical acceleration less than Γ =7.5. In our experiment, the dimensionless acceleration is much larger $(\Gamma=12)$ as underlined here before. Furthermore, when the grain length becomes large, grains rotations becomes restricted to very small angles because of the caging effect.

FIG. 2. Typical curve of compaction: volume fraction ρ as a function of the tap number *n*. Three different cases are illustrated for a unique diameter of the tube, $D=10$ mm: $\ell=4$ mm (squares), ℓ =10 mm (circles), and ℓ =20 mm (triangles). The curves are fits using Eq. (1).

All experimental compaction curves have been fitted with the inverse logarithmic law—i.e., with Eq. (1). From the fits, a relevant parameter is the asymptotic volume fraction ρ_∞ . To ascertain that tapping for 2000 taps is long enough for determining the final density from fitting, we have made some measurements with 10 000 taps. Fitting up to 10 000 taps gives almost the same value of ρ_{∞} as the value obtained by fitting the first 2000 data points.

Figure 3 shows the asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} as a function of the ratio ℓ/D for different tube diameters. Each dot is an average over five different measurements. Error bars are indicated. The asymptotic volume fraction presents large variations when the ratio ℓ/D is changed. In every experiment, we observe a minimum of ρ_{∞} when the cylinder length is equal to the tube diameter—i.e., when $\ell = D$. From these results, one could wonder whether the compaction dynamics changes or not when varying ℓ/D .

While the asymptotic volume fraction ρ_∞ of the packing does not vary much with *D* for both extreme cases $\ell/D \ge 1$ and $\ell/D \ll 1$, the situation is quite different for the particular case $\ell = D$. The asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} presents a

FIG. 3. Asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} as a function of the ratio ℓ/D for three different tube diameters: $D=8$ mm (squares), $D=10$ mm (circles), and $D=12$ mm (triangles). Each dot is an average over five measurements. Error bars are indicated.

FIG. 4. Asymptotic volume fraction $\rho_{\infty}(\ell = D)$ as a function of the aspect ratio of cylinders, ℓ/d , for the particular case $\ell = D$. Error bars are indicated.

minimum for $\ell = D$. Geometrical constraints are thus maximum for that situation. Moreover, the asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} decreases when the tube diameter *D* increases. Figure 4 is a plot of $\rho_{\infty}(\ell = D)$ as a function of ℓ/d for the particular case $\ell = D$. Extremely low values of the packing fraction $\rho_{\infty}=0.25$ can be found for large tubes (and large grains).

C. Discussion

As for common liquid crystals, the rods have two parameters for describing a possible long-range order: position and orientation. Each of them plays a role in the packing fraction. Since random positions are usually observed even for spherical grains, the largest source of heterogeneities in our experiment comes from grain orientations. The orientation disorder is reduced when $\ell > D$. One should also note that the vertical configurations which are favored by the geometrical constraints do not correspond to energy minimization. Indeed, the potential energy for a grain is minimized when this grain is horizontal.

Figure 5 presents typical pictures of the packing for vari-

FIG. 5. Pictures of the tube after 2000 taps and for a tube diameter $D=10$ mm. Three different cases are illustrated: (a) $\ell = 4$ mm, (b) ℓ =10 mm, and (c) ℓ =20 mm.

ous ratios ℓ/D . The pictures were taken after several taps. From the observation of the left picture shown in Fig. 5, one understands that all grain orientations are possible for $\ell < D$. In that case, ideal packing could be realized in various directions. For $\ell > D$, horizontal orientations are forbidden. The grains are ideally arranged along the tube direction—i.e., along the vertical direction. This global ordering of the grains is well seen in the right picture of Fig. 5. When ℓ =*D*, a few grains are placed in the horizontal direction. Large voids can be seen near horizontal grains. This case is shown in the central picture of Fig. 5.

The large variation of the packing fraction, which is observed when the needle length ℓ is equivalent to the tube diameter *D*, should be attributed to a 3*d*-2*d* transition.

Let us discuss some particular cases. For $\ell \ll D$, the situation tends to a packing of isotropic objects. The 3*d* ideal volume fraction of identical spheres is $\rho = \pi/3\sqrt{2} \approx 0.74$ while the random close packed limit is $\rho \approx 0.64$ —i.e., a value close to that measured for the smallest grains. In the case of long needles $(\ell \ge D)$, all needles have a vertical orientation. The ideal volume fraction is given by the fraction of disks arranged along a hexagonal packing in the plane crossing the glass tube—i.e., $\rho = \pi \sqrt{3}/6 \approx 0.91$. Of course, this idealordered packing is never realized. The random case corresponds to a packing fraction $\rho \approx 0.82$ which is the upper limit of our experimental measurements.

From the above discussion of both extreme cases, one expects a global increase of the volume fraction from 0.58 (random sphere packing) to 0.82 (random disk packing) when the ratio ℓ/D increases. How to explain that the volume fraction presents a minimum for $\ell \approx D$? This minimum is the consequence of the competition between two phenomena. First, the packing density decreases when the grain length ℓ increases [13,14]. Second, we observe an arrangement of grains along the tube direction when $\ell/D > 1$. This arrangement leads to an increase of the packing density. The first phenomenon explains the decrease of ρ_{∞} for ℓ/D situated between 0.2 and 1. The second phenomenon explains the increase of ρ_{∞} for ℓ/D situated between 1 and 2. Furthermore, when $\ell \approx D$, some horizontal grains can block the vertical motion of the other grains and jams are formed.

In Fig. 4 the minimum value of ρ_{∞} is found to decrease with $\ell (=D)$. This decrease is explained by the increase of the grain lenght ℓ and by the presence of jams when a grain is horizontal.

III. SIMULATIONS

A. Toy model

In order to reproduce our experimental results, we propose a toy model on a 2*d* lattice. Grains are needles having different orientations. They are placed on a square lattice. Each grain has eight different possible orientations which are illustrated in Figs. 6 and 7. Lattice boundaries are closed. The length ℓ of the needles is a parameter to be investigated. The width *W* of the lattice is the second parameter of the toy model.

The first step of the model consists in filling the lattice with anisotropic grains. The first configuration is obtained by

FIG. 6. Eight possible orientations of a needle with $\ell = 9$ on a square lattice.

the rain method. Grains are dropped sequentially on the top of the lattice. Both grain position and grain orientation are chosen randomly. The grains follow a vertical motion until they touch another particle or the lattice edge.

Once the lattice is filled, the model consists in applying a series of taps. The procedure to simulate a single tap is the following. We randomly choose some grains as many times as the number of grains in the system. Thus, every grain in the system has a chance to move. For a selected grain, we have the choice between two motions: a rotation with an angle of $\pm \pi/4$ or an horizontal translation of one pixel. The selected motion for that grain has also two possible directions. Each motion and each direction is chosen randomly with a probability 0.5. The operation is executed only if it does not lead to some overlap of the grains. The volume fraction ρ of the packing is measured after every tap. It

FIG. 7. Typical simulated packings in a 2*d* system. Three different needles $(\ell=13,41,77)$ are illustrated for the same lattice $(W=41)$. Different heterogeneities from disorder to long-range order can be seen. Large voids are obtained when $\ell = W$.

FIG. 8. Simulated compaction curves: volume fraction ρ as a function of the tap number n . The curves are fits using the inverse logarithmic law, Eq. (1). Three different cases are illustrated: $\ell = 9$ (squares), ℓ =21 (circles), and ℓ =41 (triangles). All data are obtained for $W=21$. The curves are an average over five simulations

should be also underlined that this toy model does not consider gravity for selecting new orientations of a needle. Indeed, the effect of gravity becomes negligible when ρ becomes large (after a few taps).

B. Numerical results

In the simulations, we have observed the evolution of the volume fraction ρ as a function of the tap number *n*. Figure 8 presents typical compaction curves obtained numerically for three different situations. An inverse logarithmic dynamics has been found in every case. From the fits using Eq. (1), we have obtained the evolution of the saturation density ρ_{∞} for various ratios ℓ /*W* and for different lattices. The results are displayed in Fig. 9. As for experiments the volume fraction presents a minimum when $\ell = W$.

Moreover, we have numerically investigated the particular case $\ell = W$. Figure 10 presents the volume fraction ρ_{∞} as a function of *W* in a semilogarithmic plot. The volume fraction is seen to decrease exponentially with the lattice width *W*. One has

FIG. 9. Asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} as a function of the ratio ℓ/D for different lattices: $W=13$ pixels (squares) and *W* $=41$ pixels (circles). Each data point is an average over five simulations. Error bars are indicated.

FIG. 10. Asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} as a function of the needles aspect ratio ℓ (*d*=1) for the particular case $\ell = W$. Each data point is an average over five simulations. Error bars are indicated. The curve is only a guide for the eyes.

$$
\rho_{\infty} = \rho_R + A \, \exp\biggl(-\frac{W}{L}\biggr),\tag{2}
$$

with ρ_R =0.125±0.009, which is a residual density for a jammed phase, and $L=21.1\pm2.3$ is a characteristic length of particle. It would be interesting to check experimentally whether a residual density exists for large tubes and large grains. Nevertheless, we are not able to obtain this extreme situation (see Fig. 4).

C. Discussion

Our toy model reproduces qualitatively our experimental results. Indeed, the compaction curves look similar to the experimental curve (see Fig. 8). A minimum of the volume fraction is also observed when the needle length ℓ becomes equivalent to the width *W* of the lattice. The exponential scaling of the minimum (Fig. 10) is unexpected and needs further theoretical and experimental studies.

The large variation of the packing fraction, which is observed when the needle length ℓ is equivalent to the width W of the lattice, should be attributed to a 2*d*-1*d* ordering. Figure 7 presents typical packings on the same lattice. Three different lengths ℓ are illustrated: $\ell \leq W$, $\ell = W$, and $\ell > W$. In the former case, the grains have various orientations. Small voids can be seen. In the second case, a few grains have horizontal orientations blocking the lattice. This jammed situation could create large voids. In the third case, a large majority of the grains have a vertical orientation and pack ideally along the lattice. A few diagonal grains create voids in the lattice.

The toy model confirms that the variation of the asymptotic volume fraction ρ_{∞} according to the particle length and to the container size is due to geometrical effects.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present an experimental study of compaction in granular materials composed of anisotropic particles. As a function of the aspect ratio of the particles, we have observed large variations of the asymptotic packing volume fraction in vertical tubes. We have observed a 3*d*-2*d* ordering when the needle length becomes equal to the width of the container. A toy model is proposed and reproduces numerically what we observed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by Contract No. ARC 02/ 07-293. The authors thank H. Caps, S. Dorbolo, F. Ludewig, M. Prochnow, and S. Theis for valuable discussions.

- [1] P. G. de Gennes, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, S374 (1999).
- [2] H. M. Jaeger and S. R. Nagel, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1259 (1996).
- [3] J. Duran, *Sables, Poudres et Grains* (Eyrolles Sciences, Paris, 1999).
- [4] A. Kudrolli, Rep. Prog. Phys. **67**, 209 (2004).
- [5] E. R. Nowak, J. B. Knight, E. Ben-Naim, H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 1971 (1998).
- [6] J. B. Knight, C. G. Fandrich, Chun Ning Lau, H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 3957 (1995).
- [7] E. Ben-Naim, J. B. Knight, E. R. Nowak, H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, Physica D **123**, 380 (1998).
- [8] E. Caglioti, V. Loreto, H. J. Herrmann, and M. Nicodemi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1575 (1997).
- [9] P. Philippe, Ph.D. thesis, Universite de Rennes 1, 2002.
- [10] P. Rémond, Physica A **329**, 127 (2003).
- [11] F. X. Villarruel, B. E. Lauderdale, D. M. Mueth, and H. M. Jaeger, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6914 (2000).
- [12] K. Stokely, A. Diacou, and Scott V. Franklin, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 051302 (2003).
- [13] A. P. Philipse and A. Verberkmoes, Physica A **235**, 186 (1997).
- [14] S. R. Williams and A. P. Philipse, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 051301 (2003).